Proceedings

Open Access Mining metastasis related genes by primary-secondary tumor comparisons from large-scale databases Sangwoo Kim and Doheon Lee*

Address: Department of Bio and Brain Engineering, KAIST, 373-1 Guseong-Dong, Yu-seong Gu, Daejeon, 305-701, Republic of Korea Email: Sangwoo Kim - swkim@biosoft.kaist.ac.kr; Doheon Lee* - dhlee@biosoft.kaist.ac.kr

* Corresponding author

from Second International Workshop on Data and Text Mining in Bioinformatics (DTMBio) 2008 Napa Valley, CA, USA. 30 October 2008

Published: 19 March 2009

BMC Bioinformatics 2009, 10(Suppl 3):S2 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-S3-S2

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2105/10/S3/S2

© 2009 Kim and Lee; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Metastasis is the most dangerous step in cancer progression and causes more than 90% of cancer death. Although many researchers have been working on biological features and characteristics of metastasis, most of its genetic level processes remain uncertain. Some studies succeeded in elucidating metastasis related genes and pathways, followed by predicting prognosis of cancer patients, but there still is a question whether the result genes or pathways contain enough information and noise features have been controlled appropriately.

Methods: We set four tumor type classes composed of various tumor characteristics such as tissue origin, cellular environment, and metastatic ability. We conducted a set of comparisons among the four tumor classes followed by searching for genes that are consistently up or down regulated through the whole comparisons.

Results: We identified four sets of genes that are consistently differently expressed in the comparisons, each of which denotes one of four cellular characteristics respectively - liver tissue, colon tissue, liver viability and metastasis characteristics. We found that our candidate genes for tissue specificity are consistent with the TiGER database. And we also found that the metastasis candidate genes from our method were more consistent with the known biological background and independent from other noise features.

Conclusion: We suggested a new method for identifying metastasis related genes from a largescale database. The proposed method attempts to minimize the influences from other factors except metastatic ability including tissue originality and tissue viability by confining the result of metastasis unrelated test combinations.

Background

Cancer metastasis is spread of a tumor from its primary organ to other part or non-adjacent organs. During the last several decades, development of cancer treatment and surgical technology has greatly increased survival rate of cancer patients [1], but treatment of metastasis still

remains above of medical capability. Once cancer cells have been disseminated to distant organs through lymph/ blood vessels, they always have a potential for re-colonization to form secondary tumors. Furthermore, the newly generated tumors already have genuine ability to form second metastasis [2]. From these reasons, metastasis is the cause of about 90% of deaths from solid tumors. The biology of metastasis has been studied for more than 100 years since Stephen Paget first proposed the 'seed and soil' hypothesis [3]. During or after the complex genetic changes in normal cells' tumorigenesis, a small portion of tumor cells acquire additional abilities. It is generally accepted that a tumor cell has to go through a lot of obstructions and overcome harsh conditions [4,5]. For example, the new environment hardly supplies the metastasized tumor cells with hormones or ligands which are indispensable for cellular growth and proliferation. It means that metastasize tumor cells need to rearrange their genetic contents to live without those signalling proteins. Tumor cells also face with physical barriers including basement membranes (BM), extracellular matrices (EM) and vessel walls. In this case, some cells who secured higher motility, ability for detachment survival and ability to change their physical/biological characteristics through epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) get favorable opportunities to win a competition among the other tumor cells and move on to the next metastasis barriers. There are many other chemical/physical barriers in whole metastasis procedures including intravasation (getting into a vessel), high fluid pressure in vessels, scattered immune cells, and extravasation (getting out from a vessel). Micrometastasis is a microscopic secondary tumor resulted from a set of primary tumor cell's success in hurdling all of the barriers above. Forming an outgrowing tumor in the secondary site is extremely hard because the entire hurdling events are a series of long odds. Even though a micrometastasis settles down in the new site, it usually dies from the inharmonious environment surrounding the cell or lies dormant due to the lack of suitable growth factors. So, the metastasized tumor cells in the secondary site have been chosen by selective pressures to have all the abilities for metastasis [6,7]. Sometimes, these winner cells are called 'decathlon champions'.

Many researchers have tried to explain metastatic procedures in the genetic level either in small scale experiments or from large scale expression data. Wang *et al* identified 76 gene signatures using 286 lymph node negative breast cancer expression data [8]. They used unsupervised clustering to classify good and bad prognosis. Tomlins *et al* tried to identify gene sets which are related to prostate cancer's progression using the 'molecular concept map' [9]. Their result showed state related 'molecular concepts' from normal prostate tissues to PIN (Prostate Intraepithelial Neoplasia), PCA (Prostate cancer), and metastasis. Edelman *et al* [10] used GSEA [11] analysis with 71 prostate samples consist of 22 benign, 32 PCA, and 17 metastatic tissues. They proposed several gene sets which are significantly changed in the step of $n \rightarrow p$ (normal to prostate cancer), and $p \rightarrow m$ (prostate cancer to metastasis). In these genetic level studies, researchers succeeded in clearly representing metastasis related gene sets or pathways, and in validating their results with classification tests.

As returning to the nature of metastasis biology, however, two substantial questions are emerging especially on the sample comparison step. First, do the metastasis samples really have metastasis characteristics? In Wang's work, the samples in the metastasis class are not actually metastasis samples; they are primary tumor samples which later turn out to show bad prognoses. Usually in other work, the samples used for representing metastasis are tumor samples from the very organ where the primary tumor occurred. The only difference is that the patients where the metastasis samples are from had metastatic tumors in their other organs. It is seriously doubtful whether the sample of a part of primary tumor has metastatic abilities; maybe cells with metastatic abilities already moved out to other organs, and only the other cells without the abilities have remained. Second, have other metastasis independent features been eliminated in the comparison between two samples from two distinct organs? In the case of comparing a sample from a primary tumor in an organ with another sample from metastasis tumor in another organ, there should be several elements that affect the result of the comparisons, such as tissue specificity, tissues' environmental viability, and a subtype of cancer. It is hardly expected that a result gene set represents metastatic characteristics only; large parts of the gene set might have been selected for another reasons.

In this paper, we present how to alleviate the noise effects and the lack of information in metastasis gene finding procedures using multiple and controlled analyses. We used a large scale expression profile database with rich clinical information – expO [12] (expression project for Oncology). With the clinical information, samples are categorized into several distinct sets. We investigated each set and tagged it with its intrinsic characteristics – metastatic ability, tissue specificity, and organ dependent viability. Any two combinatorial sets can be chosen for further comparisons, and the result would represent various information depends on the differences of the selected sets' characteristics.

Methods

We describe the data sets and scoring functions in this section. First, the expression database and preprocess procedures are explained. Second, methods for converting the probe level expression values to gene and context scores are described.

Data preparation

Expression profile database

The expO (expression project for Oncology) database is an archive of tumor samples with detailed clinical information. The IGC (International Genomics Consortium) has established a uniform system for obtaining and processing tissue samples for molecular characterization studies. Currently the expO database obtained 1911 tumor samples (2008-07-14) and provides the expression data through the NCBI's public gene expression database [13] (GEO - GSE2109 series). There are lots of clinical attributes associated with gene expression data such as patient's age, gender, ethnic background, tobacco use, alcohol consumption and familial history of cancer. Furthermore, cancer specific information including pathological and clinical TNM stages, cancer grades, primary tumor sites and relapse information is also available. This standardization of both microarray platform and clinical description greatly contributed to users conveniences.

Database construction

Although the expO database provides a lot of useful information with great level of standardization, the large size and the text based format (SOFT format) make it less convenient to analyze the data freely. To settle these problems, we constructed a relational database using the expO contents (Figure 1, up). Firstly, we extracted clinical information from SOFT formatted flat files using parsers. This information was uploaded into a data table (MySQL 5.0, Red-Hat Linux platform). The data table part was kept in a separated storage divided into a single GSM entry. Secondly, we constructed a web-based database (developed with JSP and JSTL) in which a user can fetch required data using SQL query statements (Figure 1, down). Finally, we built a program for automatic generation of input files used in GSEA analyses (GCT and CLS files).

Experimental design

Class definition

Using the relational database and the GSEA analysis preparation program, we set up four data classes of different organ and metastatic abilities. The main concept of the analysis describes colon cancer's metastasis to liver. The organs, colon and liver, were selected due to the relative sufficiency of sample numbers than those of the other organs. The four classes are named A (a primary tumor in liver), B (metastasis tumor in liver from a primary colon tumor), C (a primary tumor in colon) and D (a metastasis tumor in other organs but liver from a primary colon tumor) respectively. Corresponding locations of four tumor classes were depicted in Figure 2. For entry details with clinical information, see Additional file 1.

Class A

13 samples have been assigned to class A using the below query from expO relational database.

SELECT * FROM expo WHERE source = 'liver' AND primarysite = 'liver' AND histology NOT LIKE '%metastatic%'

From the query result, the GSM203676 sample was removed as it turned out to be a relapsed cancer. Finally, class A has 12 primary liver tumors without any relapse and metastasis and collected from liver.

Class B

20 samples have been assigned to class B using the below query.

SELECT * FROM expo WHERE source = 'liver' AND primarysite = 'colon' AND histology LIKE '%metastatic%'

The histology phrase ensures that the primary colon tumor has metastasized to liver. Without the phrase, the secondary liver cancer might be thought to be another independent primary liver tumor after the primary colon tumor occurred.

Class C

188 samples have been assigned to class C using the below query.

SELECT * FROM expo WHERE source = 'colon' AND primarysite = 'colon' AND histology NOT LIKE '%metastatic%' AND pathologicalM = '0'

The pathological field denotes the doctor's decision about the tumor's metastatic aspect.

Class D

14 highly heterogeneous samples have been assigned to the class D. We extracted 16 non-liver metastatic tumors that are originated from colon.

SELECT * from expo WHERE primarysite = 'colon' AND source ! = 'liver' AND source ! = 'colon' AND histology LIKE '%metastatic'

From the 16 query results, two samples (GSM102484, GSM137952) were removed due to the adjacency of the metastasized organs (small intestine and peritoneum). The remaining samples' secondary tumor sites included ovary, lung and bladder.

Class definitionCharacteristics of each tumor class were assessed in four criteria – metastatic ability, colon tissue specificity, liver tissue specificity and viability in liver environment. For example, class A has no metastatic abil-

Column Name	Data Type	NOT	AUTO	Flags	Default Value	Comments			
Accession	VARCHAR(12) 🔽				GSM numb	er (primary	key)	
Source	VARCHAR(45)			NULL	Organ of th	ne sample t	issue	
Gender	VARCHAR(10)			NULL	Patient's g	ender		
Ethnic	VARCHAR(15)			NULL	Patient's e	thnic back	round	
Tobacco	VARCHAR(3)			NULL	Patient's to	obacco usa	ne (ves or	no)
Alcohol	VARCHAR(3)			NULL	Patient's a	cohol cons	umption (ves or no)
Eamilial	VARCHAR(3)			NULL	Familial his	tory of can	cer	<i>jes</i> or no,
	TINYINT(3)			LINSIG	NULL	Patient's a	ne (hy deca	de)	
PrimarySite	VARCHAR	45)		UNDIC	NULL	Tumor's pri	many site	uc)	
PathologicalT	VARCHAR(5)			NULL	Pathologic	al T stage		
PathologicalN	VARCHAR(5)			NULL	Pathologic	al Nistage		
	VARCHAR(5) 0			NULL	Pathologic	al Mistage		
Relance	VARCHAR(2) []			NULL	Is the turn	ar Miscage	d cancor	(vor or po)
	VANCHAN(5) []			NULL	(Mhon rola	n a leiapse	a cancer ((yes of no)
	VARCHAR	5)			NULL	(when rela	psed) I sta	ge	
	VARCHAR(5)			NULL	(when rela	psed) N sta	ge	
	VARCHAR(5)			NULL	(when rela	psed) M sta	ige	
PathologicalStage	VARCHAR(5)			NULL	Pathologica	al (overall)	stage	
PathologicalGrade	VARCHAR(5)			NULL	Tumor cell'	s cytogene	tic aberra	ition
RetreatmentStage	VARCHAR(5)			NULL	Relapsed o	verall stage	2	
🗟 RetreatmentGrade	VARCHAR(5)			NULL	Relapsed to	umor cell's	cytogene	tic aberratio
파월(D) 편집(E) 보기(Y) 즐겨? ☆ ☆ 월 • UXIL 등 GE BISL expO	(7)(<u>A</u>) ⊊7(<u>T</u>) 0 (S) CEA databa	도움말(<u>H</u>) 옷 Hep a s e ,	8 h	um 🇭	e × 8 Inte 2	{ colo }	ù• ⊡ - ∉	- 🕞 MON(₽) • @ ⊊⊋(0) •
You can easily qu	ery the exp	0 datal	base	and bu	uild GSEA relate	ed files for g	ene set ana	alyses.	
facility in aneast 11	COM expo				Query				
	COM expo				Query				
GSM102424 Thuroid	e Gender	Ethnic	Tobac	co Alcoho	Query	PrimarySite	Pathological	Pathological	N Pat
GSM102424 Thyroid	e Gender Female	Ethnic Caucasian	Tobac Yes	Yes	Query DI Familial AgeRange Yes 50	PrimarySite Thyroid	Pathological 3	Pathological 0	N Pat
GSM102425 Endometriu	e Gender Female m Female	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian	Yes No	Yes No	Query DI Familial AgeRange Yes 50 No 50	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0	N Pat
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102426 Ovary	e Gender Female Female	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian	Tobac Yes No Yes	Yes Yes No Yes	Query Di Familiai ArceRange Yes 50 No 50 No 70	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0 0	N Pat
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102426 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue	e Gender Female M Female Female	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes	Yes No Yes No	Yes 50 No 70 Yes 50	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0	NPat ^ 0
Accession Sourc GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102426 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue	e Gender Female M Female Female	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes	Yes No Yes No	Yes 50 No 70 Yes 50	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0	0 0
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102426 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue	e Gender Female m Female L Thigh Female	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes	Yes No Yes No	Query al samilital Arcs.sanoo Yes 50 No 50 No 70 Yes 50	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0 0	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102426 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue C Query 2: SELECT * FR	e Gender Female Female L Thigh Female	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes	Yes No Yes No e=source	Yes 50 No 70 Yes 50 Ves 50	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0 0	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102426 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue C Query 2: SELECT * FR	e Gender Female Female L Thigh Female OM cancer.liver W der Ethnic T	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian HERE prii obacco A	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes marysit	Yes No Yes No No Persource	Query 21 Eamiliel ArctRange Yes 50 No 50 No 70 Yes 50	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological ¹ 3 2b PathologicalN 1	Pathological 0 0 athologicalM	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102425 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue Cuery 2: SELECT * FR Accession Source Mal GSM102503 Liver Mal	e Gender Female m Female L Thigh Female OM cancer.liver W der Ethnic T e Caucasian 1	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian 'HERE prin obaccoA to Y (es N	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes marysil	Yes No Yes No No Familial A Yes Yes	Query 21 Eamiliel ArctRange Yes 50 No 50 No 70 Yes 50 Query 0 Query 0 Liver 1	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0 0	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102426 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue Cuery 2: SELECT * FR Accession Source GM GSM102503 Liver Mal GSM102503 Liver Mal GSM102509 Liver Mal	e Gender Female M Female L Thigh Female DM cancer.liver W der Ethnic T e Caucasian 1 hale Caucasian 1	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian /HERE prin book /HERE prin to Y res N res Y	Yes No Yes Yes Yes Cohol ies Io es	Ves No No No No No Ves Yes Yes Yes Yes	Query 21 Eximitial Arct Range Yes 50 No 50 No 70 Yes 50 Yes 10 Uver 10 Yes 10	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0 0	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102425 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue Cuery 2: SELECT * FR GSM102503 Liver Mal GSM137909 Liver Mal GSM137909 Liver Fen GSM137909 Liver Fen GSM137909 Liver Fen	e Gender Female M Female L Thigh Female DM cancer.liver W der Ethnic T e Caucasian 1 hale Caucasian 1 hale Caucasian 1	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes Yes Cohol es io es	COALCONC Yes No Yes No Concernation Yes No Yes	Query 21 Eamiliel ArcsRange Yes 50 No 50 No 70 Yes 50 Yes 10 Yes 10 Yes 10 Yes 10 Yes 10 Yes 10	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b PathologicalN P	Pathological 0 0	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102425 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue Query 2: SELECT * FR GSM102503 Liver Mal GSM17962 Liver Mal GSM17962 Liver Mal GSM17952 Liver Mal GSM17952 Liver Mal	e Gender Female Female L Thigh Female DM cancer.liver W der Ethnic T e Caucasian 1 hale Caucasian 1 hale Caucasian 1 hale Caucasian 1	Ethnic Caucasian	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes marysit (cohol (cs io io io	Ves No Yes No No No Pessurce Yes Yes No No	Query 21 Eximital Arct Range Yes 50 No 50 No 70 Yes 50 Yes 10 Y	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0 0	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102425 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue Cuery 2: SELECT * FR Accession Source Ger GSM102503 Liver Mal GSM17952 Liver Mal GSM17952 Liver Mal GSM17952 Liver Mal GSM127952 Liver Mal GSM203666 Liver Mal GSM203666 Liver Mal	e Gender Female Female L Thigh Female DM cancer.liver W der Ethner M der Ethner M ale Caucasian 1 hale Caucasian 1	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian (HERE prin Obacco A No Y res N res N res N res N res N res N res N	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes Yes Cohol es to es to to to to to	Yes No Yes No No Ves Yes Yes No Yes Yes	Query 21 Eximital Arcs Range Yes S0 No S0 No 70 Yes S0 Yes S0 Yes S0 Yes S0 Yes S0 Yes S0 Yes Liver 70 Liver	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0 0	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102425 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue Cuery 2: SELECT * FR GSM102503 Liver Mal GSM127902 Liver Mal GSM127902 Liver Mal GSM127952 Liver Mal GSM127952 Liver Mal GSM127952 Liver Mal	e Gender Female Female L Thigh Female DM cancer liver W der Ethnic e Caucasian i hale Caucasian i hale Caucasian i hale Caucasian i hale Caucasian i hale Caucasian i hale Caucasian i	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian (HERE priri obaccoA No Y (es N (es Y (es N (es N (es N (es N (es N))	Tobac Yes No Yes Yes Yes Cohol ces ces co ces co co co co	Yes No No Persource Pamilia / Yes No Yes No Yes	Query SI Exmitist AgeRange Yes S0 No 50 No 70 Yes S0 Yes Yes Yes Yes <t< td=""><td>PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma</td><td>Pathological 3 2b</td><td>Pathological 0 0</td><td>N Pat A</td></t<>	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0 0	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102426 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue Cuery 2: SELECT * FR GSM102503 Liver Mal GSM127099 Liver Fen GSM137962 Liver Fen GSM137962 Liver Fen GSM137962 Liver Fen GSM137962 Liver Fen GSM233676 Liver Mal GSM233676 Liver Mal	e Gender Female Female L Thigh Female DM cancer liver W der Ethics Caucasian i nale Caucasian i nale Caucasian i nale Caucasian i e Caucasian i e Caucasian i e Caucasian i	Ethnic Caucasian	Yes No Yes Yes Yes Cohol es io io io	e=source Pessive Yes No Pessive Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes S0 Yes S0 No 70 Yes S0 Yes S0 Yes S0 Yes S0 Yes S0 Yes Utver Cuery Yes Utver T0 Utver T0 Utver T0 Utver S0 Utver S0 Utver S0 Utver S0 Utver S0 Utver	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tissue Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0	N Pat A
Accession Source GSM102424 Thyroid GSM102425 Endometriu GSM102425 Ovary GSM102427 Soft Tissue Cuery 2: [SELECT * FR GSM102503 Liver Mal GSM102503 Liver Mal GSM137969 Liver Fen GSM137969 Liver Fen GSM137962 Liver Fen GSM137962 Liver Fen GSM137952 Liver Fen GSM203660 Liver Mal GSM203675 Liver Mal GSM203675 Liver Mal	e Gender Female Female L Thigh Female DM cancer liver W der Ethans Caucasian 1 ale Caucasian 1 ale Caucasian 1 ale Caucasian 1 e Caucasian 1	Ethnic Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian Caucasian (HERE prif obacco A Yo (es N Yes N Yes N Yes N Yes N Yes N	Yes No Yes Yes Yes Cohol es es to to to	Ves No Pessource Pontilol / Yes Yes No Yes No Yes	Yes S0 Yes Utver Cuery Yes Utver C0 Liver C0 Live C0 L	PrimarySite Thyroid Endometrium Ovary Soft Tisse Sarcoma	Pathological 3 2b	Pathological 0	Ves

Figure I

Relational and Online Database for expO. The flat file expO database was parsed and updated into MySQL based relational database. Database schema is shown in the upper figure. Online access to the database (lower figure) is available on http://gto.kaist.ac.kr:8080/Expo/index.jsp



Figure 2

Tumor class diagram. Each of the four classes is described in this figure. A is a primary tumor arisen in liver. C is a primary tumor arisen in colon. B and D are both metastatic tumors disseminated from primary colon tumors. Primary tumors are denoted by blue circles, metastatic tumors are denoted by red circles.

ity and no colon tissue specificity but it has liver tissue specificity and liver's environmental viability, whereas class B has metastatic ability, colon tissue specificity (tumor has originated from colon cells) and viability in liver's environment but no liver tissue specificity.

Differently expressed genes from two distinct classes represent characteristic gaps between those classes (see Table 1). When we compare the class A with B, the result genes are expected to contain three kinds of characteristic differences – metastatic ability (from B), colon tissue specificity (from B) and liver tissue specificity (from A). So, if a gene α was up-regulated in class B, we expect that the gene α plays a role in metastasis or colon tissue related activities.

Scoring and analysis

Differently expressed scores have been calculated based on a t-test. A score $s^{i}_{AB'}$ gene *i*'s enrichment in class A compared with class B can be obtained from the below equation.

$$S_{AB}^{i} = \frac{\mu_{A}^{i} - \mu_{B}^{i}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{A}^{i}}{n_{A}} + \frac{\sigma_{B}^{i}}{n_{B}}}}$$

where μ is the mean, n is the number of samples, and σ is the standard deviation. If the sⁱ_{AB} score is bigger than 0, the gene *i* is up-regulated in class A.

Gathering class combinations with a consistent context gives an overview of specific characteristics. We named the series of class combinations a context vector. For example, a metastasis context vector of gene i is defined as below.

$$\mathbf{vm}^{i} = \left(s_{BA}^{i}, s_{BC}^{i}, s_{DA}^{i}, s_{DC}^{i}\right)$$

where $s_{BA}^{i} = -s_{AB}^{i}$, $s_{DA}^{i} = -s_{AD}^{i}$, $s_{DC}^{i} = -s_{CD}^{i}$.

Each element of the metastasis context vector denotes how far a gene *i* was up-regulated in the metastatic tumor sample in contrast to another primary tumor sample. The bigger the each element's value, the higher dependency on metastasis the context vector indicates. Likewise, we can define other three context vectors – colon tissue context vector, liver tissue context vector and liver viability context vector.

$$\mathbf{vc}^{i} = (s_{BA}^{i}, s_{CA}^{i}, s_{DA}^{i})$$
$$\mathbf{vl}^{i} = (s_{AB}^{i}, s_{AC}^{i}, s_{AD}^{i})$$
$$\mathbf{vv}^{i} = (s_{AC}^{i}, s_{AD}^{i}, s_{BC}^{i}, s_{BD}^{i})$$

Because we cannot jump to a conclusion that each element belongs to a specific characteristic, we need to justify the consistency of the element's directionality. For exam-

Table I: Comparison combinations of classes and expected characteristic differences.

Comparison	Tissue specificity	Metastatic ability	Environmental Viability
A – B	O (liver VS. colon)	O (only in B)	x
A – C	O (liver VS. colon)	X (neither)	O (liver only VS. colon only)
A – D	O (liver VS. colon)	O (only in D)	O (liver only VS. anywhere but liver)
B – C	X	O (only in B)	O (colon and liver VS. colon only)
B – D	x	X (both)	O (colon and liver VS. anywhere but liver)
C-D	x	O (only in D)	• (colon only VS. anywhere but liver)

'O' is marked when a certain comparison is expected to show differences in a specific context. 'X' is marked when there is no difference.

ple, $s^i{}_{AB}$ is used in three context values (as an $s^i{}_{BA}$ form in ${\bf vm}^i$ and ${\bf vl}^i).$

A high score of s^{i}_{AB} can be explained in one of the three hypotheses below;

- i. Gene i is down-regulated in metastatic tumors
- ii. Gene i is down-regulated in colon tissues

iii. Gene i is up-regulated in liver tissues

Now, we check whether the gene *i* has been up or downregulated in other class combinations. If the $s^i_{AC'}$ and s^i_{AD} score were also high, all of the elements in the liver viability context vector vlⁱ have plus values indicating that the hypothesis iii – gene *i* would be up-regulated in liver tissues – would be correct. We define the consistency factor c.

$$c_{vi} = \begin{cases} +1 & (every \text{ element of } v^{i} > 0) \\ 0 & (v^{i} \text{ has both signs}) \\ -1 & (every \text{ element of } v^{i} < 0) \end{cases}$$

The final score of gene i's dependency on a specific characteristic $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is,

$$f(i,\boldsymbol{\tau}) - c_{\mathbf{v}\boldsymbol{\tau}^{i}} \prod_{x} \left| \mathbf{v}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{x}^{i} \right|$$

where $v\tau^i$ is a context vector of gene i on the characteristic τ , $c_{v\tau}$ i is a consistency factor of the vector $v\tau^i$.

Results and discussion

We scored 20606 genes using the function described in the last section. Total 54675 probe sets from an Affymetrix U133 Plus 2.0 chip were matched to their corresponding genes using GSEA v2 program's Collapse Dataset tool. In the case of many to gene matching, we used the maximum value of the probes. Enrichment scores have been calculated for six comparisons (A \leftrightarrow B, A \leftrightarrow C, A \leftrightarrow D, B \leftrightarrow C, B \leftrightarrow D, C \leftrightarrow D), and their differently expressed genes were denoted using six Heat Maps. The heat map of A \leftrightarrow B is shown in Figure 3. Remaining heat maps are shown in Additional file 2.

For each gene, the scores for dependency on a specific characteristic, $f(i, \tau)$ were calculated for four τs – characteristics. For example, the scores of gene HPN (hepsin, transmembrane protease) with respect to the four characteristics (metastasis, colon tissue, liver tissue, and liver viability) were 0, -100.0, 100.0, and 2179 respectively, which can be interpreted like the HPN gene is not related to metastasis, but it is closely related to the cellular viability

in liver as Klezovitch *et al* has reported [14]. Top genes for each characteristic were identified. We will discuss the top genes and their reliability case by case.

Colon and liver tissue

As described in the section on Experimental design, the context vector for colon is exactly the minus signed liver context vector, because any tissues used for the sample data were colon tissues or liver tissues, exclusively. 6691 genes from total 20606 genes scored zero (their context vectors contain both signs of elements) in these characteristics. RIPK3 (receptor-interacting serine-threonine kinase 3) scored highest by 1674.1 and KLHL (kelch-like 5) gene scored lowest by -1610.9. Scores were re-scaled for more convenient readability (multiplied power of 10s depend on the size of context vectors). Mean score was 11.27 with standard deviation 85.4. Top 10 genes for all characteristics are shown in Table 2. We used the TiGER database (Tissue-specific Gene Expression and Regulation) [15] to validate the result genes' tissue specificity. In colon's case, top 10 genes were all highly regulated in colon compared to liver. TMPRSS4 (1442.7 colon score, 4th ranked) and HLXB9 (Homo sapiens homeobox HB9, colon score 1125.7, 8th ranked) were registered to the TiGER colon specific gene list. But in liver's case, no gene in the top 10 liver score list was registered to the database.

We concluded that extracted colon/liver tissue specific genes do not contain any universal tissue specific genes. Instead, the result includes genes relatively up or downregulated than in the other tissues. But this result is enough to be used to offset any bias caused by the tissue differences.

Liver viability

The same analysis was applied to the liver viability characteristics. Mean score was 6.9 with standard deviation 513.4. Surprisingly, 9 of 10 top genes were all registered to the TiGER's liver specific gene database (see Table 2). Including ALB (albumin, 6216 liver viability score, 2nd ranked), FGG (fibrinogen gamma chain, 6097 liver viability score, 3rd ranked) and SERPINA3 (serpin peptidase inhibitor, 5958 liver viability score, 4th ranked), all the top genes were well known as liver specific genes. Despite FGG and HP/HPR (Homo sapiens haptoglobin/haptoglobin-related protein) are significantly up-regulated in the tissues from liver environment, their liver score were all zero. In the case of FGG, the DEG score from A \leftrightarrow B was -0.0058 making the final score 0. Similarly, HP/HPR's zero score was due to the minus DEG score in A \leftrightarrow B.

The differences of two liver-related scores need to be examined. One seeks to find any signs coming from liver tissue's characteristics, while the other from liver's environments. Because the liver context vector and liver viabil.............

සුයිසිනියිසිසිසිකිසිස ් සිසිසිනියිසිසිසිකිසිසිසිස් සිසිස	8	
	8	
	SampleName	
	KLHL5 KLI	KLHL5 kelch-like 5 (Drosophila)
	SMAD5 SM	SMAD5 SMAD, mothers against DPP homolog 5 (Drosophila)
	TUBB2A TUI	TUBB2A tubulin, beta 2A
	CAP2 CA	CAP2 CAP, adenylate cyclase-associated protein, 2 (yeast)
		TTC33 tetratricopeptide repeat domain 33 TTC33 unking homolog KH domain 33
	RAB7L1 RAI BMT1 BMT	RAB7L1 RAB7, member RAS oncogene family-like 1 RMI1 B lymphoma Mo-MU V insertion region (mouse)
	L3MBTL4 L3 7NF271 7N	L3MBIL4 L(3)mbt-like 4 (Drosophila) ZMF271 zinc finger protein 271
	MRPS27 MRI IER3IP1 IEI	MRPS27 mitochondrial ribosomal protein S27 IER3IP1 immediate early response 3 interacting protein 1
	SGPP1 SG METAP2 ME	SGPP1 sphingosine-1-phosphate phosphatase 1 METAP2 methionvl aminopeptidase 2
	GNAI1 GN/ C170RF79 C1	GNAII guanine´nucleotide binding protein (G protein), alpha inhibiting activity polypeptide 1 C170RF79 chromosome 17 open reading frame 79
	SS18 SS GLRX2 GL	SS18 synovial sarcoma translocătion, chromosome 18 GLRX2 glutaredoxin 2
	CHORDC1 CH GKAP1 GK	CHORDC1 čysteine and histidine-rich domain (CHORD)-containing 1 GKAP1 G kinase anchoring protein 1
	CDC73 CD COMMD4 CO	CDC73 cell division cycle 73, Paf1/RNA polymerase II complex component, homolog (S. cerevisiae) COMMD4 COMM domain containing 4
	RNUXA RNI BAG2 BA(RNUXA RNA U, small nuclear RNA export adaptor (phosphorylation regulated) BAG2 BCL2-associated athanogene 2
	KLAA0888 KI VAPA VA	KLAA00888 - VAPA VAMP (vesicle-associated membrane protein)-associated protein A, 33kDa
	ZNF639 ZN MCFD2 MC	ZNE639 Zinc finger protein 639 MCFD2 multiple coagulation factor deficiency 2
	CDKN2C CD C40RF27 C4	CUKNZC CYCLID-dependent Kinase innibitor 2C (pl8, innibits CUK4) C40RF27 chromosome 4 open reading frame 27 CHNDC70 forsibulith anymensibility 202 angles 0
	MARK4 MAI	HAM107B Family with Sequence similarity 107, member B MARK4 MAP/microtubule affinity-regulating kinase 4
	UROD URO	WRGI WD repeat domain Gi UROD uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase
	CCBL1 CCI	CCBLI cysteine conjugate-beta lyase; cytoplasmic (glutamine transaminase K, kyneurenine aminotransferase) CCPDEAT cysteine conjugate-beta lyase; cytoplasmic (glutamine transaminase K, kyneurenine aminotransferase)
	ERBB2IP ERI	ERBB2IP erbb2 interacting protein CCCU17 colled-coil domain containing 117
	SEC22C SE	SEC22 SEC22 vesicle trafficking protein homolog C (S. cerevisiae)
	ENAH ENA NEKA NEL	ENAH enabled homolog (Drosophila) NEK4 NTMA (never in mitosis gene a)-related kinase 4
	CDC23 CD SLC25A30 SL	CDC23 CDC23 (cell division cyčle 23, yeast, homolog) SLC25A30 solute carrier family 25. member 30
	PRKCA PRI LOC146439 LO	PRKCA protein kinase C. aléha LOC146439
	RIPK3 RI TMEM63C TM	RIPK3 receptor-interacting serine-threonine kinase 3 TMEM63C transmembrane protein 63C
	TRAK1 TR/ TMPRSS4 TM	TRAK1 trafficking protein, kinesin binding 1 TMPRSS4 transmembrane protease, serine 4
	DOPEY1 DO HOXB9 HOX	DOPEY1 dopey family member 1 HOXB9 homeobox B9
	AP162 AP	SH31C2 SH3 domain and tetratricopeptide repeats 2 AP1G2 adaptor-related protein complex 1, gamma 2 subunit
	GPR115 GP D0CK6 D0	GPRILS G protein-coupled receptor ILS DOCK6 dedicator of cytokinesis 6 2020
	05BPL2 05I	OSBPL2 oxysterol binding protein-like 2
	DUSP 18 DUSP 1	DUSP18 dual specificity phosphatase 18 TETT22
	LRP16 LRI RAB40C PAI	LRP16 - LRP16 - RARADC RARADC member RAS oncodene family
	C170RF76 C1 FUT2 FU	Cl70RF76 chromosome 17 open reading frame 76 FUT2 fucosyltransferase 2 (secretor status included)
	TACSTD1 TA FLJ23867 FL	TACSTD1 tumor-associated calcium signal transducer 1 FLJ23867 -
	LGALS3 /// GALIG GDPD5 GDI	GDPD5 glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase domain containing 5
	CCDC85B CCI L0C283508 L0	CCDC85B čoiled-coil domain containing 85B
	USH1C USI MST1R MS	USH1C Usher syndrome 1C (autosomal recessive, severe) MST1R macrophage stimulating 1 receptor (c-met-related tyrosine kinase)
	DCTN5 DC NEBL NEI	DCTN5 dynactin 5 (p25) NEBL nébulette
	HLXB9 HLX	HUI3 TUCOSVITTANSTETASE 3 (galactoside 3(4)-L-tucosvittansterase, Lewis blood group) HLXB9 homeobox HB9 CUMPAP devente and finite contain 40
	KCN01 KCI	CNTF46 CHIUMAIN MODIFYING PTOTEIN 48 KCNO1 potassium voltage gated channel, KOT-like subfamily, member 1
	DHRS12 DH	DHRS12 dehydrogenase/reductase (SDR family) member 12
	DPEP3 DPI C10BE106 C10	DPEP3 dipeptidase 3 CIORE106 chromosome 1 open reading frame 106
	C200RF12 C20 YWHAB YW	C200RF12 chromosome 20 open reading frame 12 WHAR tyrosine 3-monoxymenase/fryntophan 5-monoxymenase activation protein beta polymentide
	IHH IH LRRC6 IRI	IHH Indian hedgehog homolog (Drósophila) LRRC6 leucine rich repeat containing 6
	ACSL5 AC	ACSL5 acyl-CoA synthetase long-chain family member 5 EPB41L1 erythrocyte membrane protein band 4.1-like 1
	IFT88 IF CA13 CA	IFT88 intraflagellar transport 88 homolog (Chlamydomonas) CA13 carbonic anhydrase XIII
	ASB16 ASI L0C644985	ASB16 ankyrin repeat and SOCS box-containing 16
	I LACAME CE	(here and a concerned by an intervention of the coll of the coll of the coll of the concerned of the concern

Figure 3

Heat map of A\leftrightarrowB comparison. Differently expressed genes were denoted in a heat map. Sample A (left cluster) is from primary liver tissues, sample B (right cluster) is from liver metastasis of colon cancer. As shown in Table I, this result contains information of metastatic ability and tissues specificity (liver versus colon tissue). All heat maps from other comparison combinations are included in Additional file 2.

ity context vector shares two elements, S^{i}_{AC} and $S^{i}_{AD'}$ we could pay attention to only $S^{i}_{AB'}$, $S^{i}_{BC'}$ and S^{i}_{BD} elements. In the result, we found S^{i}_{AB} hardly catches liver specific genes. Even though the B sample came from colon tissues, we could see its expression pattern simulated that of liver tissues. Cancer cells go through increased genetic and epige-

netic mutations, and sometimes their genetic instability helps by providing variety and perpetuity to themselves. During the metastasis procedures, colon cancer cells that acquired invasion and metastasis abilities possibly acquire activation of liver specific genes before or after they form micrometastasis. The tissue specific gene list of

Table 2: Top	10 genes	of four	characteristics.
--------------	----------	---------	------------------

Characteristic	Gene	Score	Description
Colon tissue	RIPK3	1674.06	Receptor-interacting serine-threonine kinase 3
	LOC146439	1664.03	-
	FUT2	1463.07	Fucosyltransferase 2 (secretor status included)
	TMPRSS4	1442.70	Transmembrane protease, serine 4
	GJB3	1320.09	Gap junction protein, beta 3, 31 kDa (connexin 31)
	CIORF106	1248.81	Chromosome I open reading frame 106
	USHIC	1174.67	Usher syndrome IC (autosomal recessive, severe)
	HLXB9	1125.69	Homeobox HB9
	IFT172	1114.38	Intraflagellar transport 172 homolog (Chlamydomonas)
	DLG3	1102.68	Discs, large homolog 3 (neuroendocrine-dlg, Drosophila)
Liver tissue	KHLH5	1610.92	Kelch-like 5
	TUBB2A	1185.24	Tubulin, beta 2A
	QKI	1100.99	Quaking homolog, KH domain RNA binding
	FAM107B	798.17	Family with sequence similarity 107, member B
	RAB7L1	765.74	RAB7, member RAS oncogene family-like I
	GTF2H2	716.89	•
	CAP2	708.44	CAP, adenylate cyclase-associated protein 2
	IL6ST	706.20	Interleukin 6 signal transducer
	PTPLB	702.20	Protein tyrosin phosphatase-like B
	CPEB4	635.24	Cytoplasmic polyadenylation elemenet binding protein
Liver viability	C4A	6614.23	Complement component 4A (Rodgers blood group)
	ALB	6216.49	Albumin
	FGG	6096.9	Fibrinogen gamma chain
	SERPINA3	5958.13	Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade A
	FGA	5641.41	Fibrinogen alpha chain
	HP	5634.32	Haptoglobin
	LAMP2	5549.98	Lysosomal-associated membrane protein2
	FGB	5446.63	Fibrinogen beta chain
	AGT	5353.17	Angiotensinogen
	APOA2	5343.06	Apolipoprotein A-II
Metastasis	MGC16121	2032.63	-
	PLA2R1	1405.16	Phospholipase A2 receptor I, 180 kDa
	TREM2	812.81	Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2
	HS3ST2	647.47	Heparin sulfate 3-O-sulfotransferase 2
	ST6GAL2	627.44	ST6 beta-galactosamide alpha-2,6-sialytransferase 2
	ATPI0A	584.84	ATPase, class V, type 10A
	BGN	563.69	Biglycan
	RAB11FIP3	546.3 I	RABII family interacting protein 3
	RAD51L1	510.23	RAD51-like I
	C20ORF12	483.49	Chromosome 20 open reading frame 12

All genes are ranked by the final characteristic score. Functionally unknown genes or hypothetical genes are denoted by '-' in their description columns.

TiGER database has been established using EST (Expressed Sequence Tags) tags from sample tissues. So, the genes in the list can be identified properly not by the tissue originality but by the activity of core genes, which enables the cell to live in a liver. It is well shown in the score S_{BC}^i ; even though B and C are both from colon cells, their liver specific genes are mostly up-regulated in B. In the mean time, we cannot stop concerning the possibility that all the samples collected from liver tissues contain

surrounding normal liver tissues, making the entire results more ambiguous.

Metastasis

The result shows top 10 up and down-regulated genes in metastasis samples (Table 3, down-regulated genes are not shown). Mean score was 5.45 with standard deviation 46.87. Unfortunately, any biological processes of top scored gene MGC16121 (hypothetical gene, 2032 metastasis score, 1st ranked) were not discovered. PLA2R1

Class	Gene	B vs. C	Liver viability	Difference	Liver and metastasis dependency
B↔C only	COLECII	3.95	2.45	1.49	Liver up-regulated
	NRBP2	3.29	3.57	-0.28	Liver up-regulated
	FGG	3.26	3.81	-0.55	Liver specific
	ART4	3.19	2.08	1.10	Liver specific
	LBP	3.15	3.44	-0.28	-
	GADD45B	3.15	2.82	0.33	-
	HP /// HPR	3.11	3.69	-0.58	Liver specific
	ALB	3.088	3.80	-0.71	Liver specific
	CIOORFII	3.06	2.25	0.80	-
	GCKR	3.03	3.40	-0.36	-
Modified with liver viability	MGC16121	2.43	-1.39	3.83	Unknown
	HS3ST2	2.34	-0.39	2.74	-
	DHRS12	2.11	-0.43	2.54	-
	MSRI	2.07	-0.33	2.41	Inflammation
	DNASEI	2.10	-0.23	2.33	-
	MARCO	2.86	0.55	2.31	Macrophage receptor
	SPAG4	2.18	-0.10	2.28	-
	INMT	2.93	0.71	2.22	-
	AOAH	2.45	0.26	2.19	Metastasis related
	EPO	2.20	0.14	2.05	Cancer and metastasis

Table 3: $B \leftrightarrow C$ comparison and modified result.

The result of a naive comparison between a metastatic tumor (B) and a primary tumor (C) is shown in the upper row. This comparison is expected to represent not only metastatic ability but also liver environmental viability. Normalizing with the liver environmental viability related genes successfully eliminated many genes not selected for metastatic characteristics in the result of our methods (lower row).

(phospholipase A2 receptor 1, 1405 metastasis score, 2nd ranked) is known to acts as a receptor for phospholipase sPLA2-IB and also bind to snake PA2-like toxins. Binding of sPLA2-IB induces various effects [16] including activation of MAPK cascade to induce cell proliferation and inflammatory reactions which are well known metastasis procedures by increasing cellular motility and angiogenesis [17,18]. TREM2 (triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2, 1405 metastasis score, 3rd ranked) is also known to have a role in chronic inflammations and stimulate production of constitutive chemokines and cytokines [19]. On the other hands, PTPLB (protein tyrosine phosphatase-like member b, -1189 metastasis score, top down-regulated) is significantly down-regulated in all metastasis samples. The main function of PTPLB is not well discovered so that the direct relation to metastasis is hard to find. But PTPLB is known to interact with BAP31 [20] which is involved in CASP8-mediated apoptosis [21] which is an important pathway in tumorigenesis and metastasis resistance [22].

To prove the enhancement of the result we compared two gene lists from $B\leftrightarrow C$ and post-processed $B\leftrightarrow C$ with our method. $B\leftrightarrow C$ (liver metastasis of colon cancer versus primary colon cancer) is a commonly used comparison for extracting metastatic signatures. As shown in the Table 3, a result from $B\leftrightarrow C$ also contains liver viability characteristics as well as metastasis characteristics. So we normalized both of the $B\leftrightarrow C$ and liver viability scores and found gene sets whose $B \leftrightarrow C$ scores are high but liver viability scores are low. Because the liver viability context vector already contains Si_{BC}, we modified the context vector removing the element. As we expected, the Pearson correlation of two scores was 0.469 indicating that large parts of $B\leftrightarrow C$ comparison result is due to the liver viability characteristics. As shown in Table 3, almost half of the top scored genes merely from the B↔C comparison were liver specific genes. But there was no liver specific gene in the top 20 gene list in modified results. All the top 10 genes including COLEC11 (collectin sub-family member 11), FGG (fibrinogen gamma chain), ART4 (ADP-ribosyltransferase 4), ALB (albumin), and HP (haptoglobin) were removed in the modified result. Instead, metastasis candidate genes including AOAH [23] (acyloxyacyl hydrolase), EPO [24] (erythropoietin) and MAR1 (macrophage scavenger receptor1, involved in inflammation pathways) were newly included. We are expecting the other genes would be validated further.

Conclusion

We suggested a new method for identifying metastasis related genes from a large scale database. The proposed method attempts to minimize the influences from other factors but metastasis including tissue originality and tissue viability by confining the result of metastasis unrelated test combinations. We presented tissue specific and tissue viability related genes, and validated them using tissue specificity database, TiGER. Finally, we presented metastasis candidate genes by calculating differences of metastasis and liver viability normalized scores. We would like to expand the experiments to other tissues using remaining records of the databases and further validate the result by constructing classifiers.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SK developed the fundamental idea of the work, performed experiments, validated the results, and wrote the manuscript. DL evaluated and revised the idea, and supervised manuscript processes.

Additional material

Additional file 1

Entries of four tumor classes with their clinical information Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-10-S3-S2-S1.pdf]

Additional file 2

Six heat maps of inter-tumor class comparisons Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-10-S3-S2-S2.pdf]

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Korean Systems Biology Program (No. M10309020000-03B5002-00000) and the National Research Lab. Program (No. 2006-01508) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology through the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation. The efforts of the International Genomics Consortium (IGC) and expO (expression project for Oncology) are greatly acknowledged. We would like to thank CHUNG Moon Soul Center for BioInformation and BioElectronics for providing research facilities.

This article has been published as part of *BMC Bioinformatics* Volume 10 Supplement 3, 2009: Second International Workshop on Data and Text Mining in Bioinformatics (DTMBio) 2008. The full contents of the supplement are available online at <u>http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2105/10?issue=S3</u>.

References

- Welch HG, Schwartz LM, Woloshin S: Are Increasing 5-Year Survival Rates Evidence of Success Against Cancer? JAMA 2000, 283:2975-2978.
- Catherine R, Tait DDKH: Do metastases metastasize? Journal of Pathology 2004, 203:515-518.
- 3. Paget S: The Distribution of Secondary Growths in Cancer of the Breast. The Lancet 1889, 133:571-573.
- Mehlen P, Puisieux A: Metastasis: a question of life or death. Nat Rev Cancer 2006, 6:449-458.
- Gupta GP, Massague J: Cancer Metastasis: Building a Framework. Cell 2006, 127:679-695.
- 6. Fidler IJ: The Pathogenesis of Cancer Metastasis: the 'Seed and Soil' Hypothesis Revisited. Nat Rev Cancer 2003, 3:453-458.
- Merlo LMF, Pepper JW, Reid BJ, Maley CC: Cancer as an evolutionary and ecological process. Nat Rev Cancer 2006, 6:924-935.

- Wang Y, Klijn JG, Zhang Y, Sieuwerts AM, Look MP, Yang F, Talantov D, Timmermans M, Meijer-van Gelder ME, Yu J: Gene-expression profiles to predict distant metastasis of lymph-node-negative primary breast cancer. *Lancet* 2005, 365:671-679.
- Tomlins SA, Mehra R, Rhodes DR, Cao X, Wang L, Dhanasekaran SM, Kalyana-Sundaram S, Wei JT, Rubin MA, Pienta KJ, et al.: Integrative molecular concept modeling of prostate cancer progression. Nat Genet 2007, 39:41-51.
- Edelman EJ, Guinney J, Chi J-T, Febbo PG, Mukherjee S: Modeling Cancer Progression via Pathway Dependencies. PLoS Computational Biology 2008, 4:e28.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP: Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:15545-15550.
- 12. Expression Project for Oncology (expO) [http://www.int gen.org/expo.cfm]. The International Genomics Consortium
- Edgar R, Barrett T: NCBI GEO standards and services for microarray data. Nat Biotechnol 2006, 24:1471-1472.
- Klezovitch O, Chevillet J, Mirosevich J, Roberts RL, Matusik RJ, Vasioukhin V: Hepsin promotes prostate cancer progression and metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 2004, 6:185-195.
- Liu X, Yu X, Zack D, Zhu H, Qian J: TiGER: A database for tissuespecific gene expression and regulation. BMC Bioinformatics 2008, 9:271.
- Granata F, Petraroli A, Boilard E, Bezzine S, Bollinger J, Del Vecchio L, Gelb MH, Lambeau G, Marone G, Triggiani M: Activation of Cytokine Production by Secreted Phospholipase A2 in Human Lung Macrophages Expressing the M-Type Receptor. J Immunol 2005, 174:464-474.
- Lu H, Ouyang W, Huang C: Inflammation, a Key Event in Cancer Development. Mol Cancer Res 2006, 4:221-233.
- DeNardo D, Johansson M, Coussens L: Immune cells as mediators of solid tumor metastasis. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2008, 27:11-18.
- Bouchon A, Dietrich J, Colonna M: Cutting Edge: Inflammatory Responses Can Be Triggered by TREM-I, a Novel Receptor Expressed on Neutrophils and Monocytes. J Immunol 2000, 164:4991-4995.
- Wang B, Pelletier J, Massaad MJ, Herscovics A, Shore GC: The Yeast Split-Ubiquitin Membrane Protein Two-Hybrid Screen Identifies BAP3 I as a Regulator of the Turnover of Endoplasmic Reticulum-Associated Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase-Like B. Mol Cell Biol 2004, 24:2767-2778.
- Nguyen M, Breckenridge DG, Ducret A, Shore GC: Caspase-Resistant BAP31 Inhibits Fas-Mediated Apoptotic Membrane Fragmentation and Release of Cytochrome c from Mitochondria. Mol Cell Biol 2000, 20:6731-6740.
- 22. Wang W, Eddy R, Condeelis J: **The cofilin pathway in breast can**cer invasion and metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer 2007, **7:**429-440.
- 23. Yang S: Gene amplifications at chromosome 7 of the human gastic cancer genome. International journal of molecular medicine 2007, 20:225-231.
- 24. Lai S^Y, Childs EE, Xi S, Coppelli FM, Gooding WE, Wells A, Ferris RL, Grandis JR: Erythropoietin-mediated activation of JAK-STAT signaling contributes to cellular invasion in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oncogene 2005, 24:4442-4449.

